

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/613,006		SCHENA, MARK A.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	BJ Forman		1634	

All Participants:

(1) BJ Forman.

(2) Shantanu Basu.

Date of Interview: 2 July 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

28

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


BJ FORMAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested amendments to provide a nexus between the preamble and final method step i.e. change, location to locationS and change individual to individualS. The examiner further suggested amending "smaller than about" to "smaller than or about" thereby overcoming an issue of indefiniteness. Mr. Basu agreed to the amendments.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/613,006	SCHENA, MARK A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BJ Forman	1634	

All Participants:

(1) BJ Forman.

(2) Shantanu Basu.

Date of Interview: 6 July 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

28

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr Basu was informed that the "less than or about 1 millimeter" is not supported by the specification. Numerous amendments were discussed to define the area as disclosed. However, an agreement was not reached.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



BJ FORMAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)